

Remote assessment of functional impairment in Alzheimer's disease: results of the RADAR-AD study







Marijn Muurling*, Casper de Boer, Srinivasan Vairavan, Jelena Curcic, Gaetano Scebba, Alankar Atreya, Chris Hinds, Pauline Conde, Margarita Grammatikopoulou, Ioulietta Lazarou, Spiros Nikolopoulos, Anna-Katharine Brem, Neva Coello, Vaibhav A Narayan, Gayle M Wittenberg, Dag Aarsland, Pieter Jelle Visser, and the RADAR-AD consortium

*m.muurling@amsterdamumc.nl

BACKGROUND

Remote monitoring technologies (RMTs), such as smartphone apps and smartwatches, are changing the way functional and cognitive performance are measured in Alzheimer's disease (AD). Due to their sensitivity, objectivity, and the option of long-term and continuous measurement, RMTs have the potential to detect a subtle decline in the earliest stages of AD.

AIM OF THE STUDY

Here, we present the results of the European RADAR-AD project (Remote Assessment of Disease and Relapse – Alzheimer's disease), which aims to test feasibility, acceptability and validity of RMT measures across all stages of AD, from cognitively normal to mild dementia.

STUDY DESIGN

In-clinic baseline assessment:

- CERAD: cognition
- Questionnaires PSQI, ESS, GLTE, GDS
- Caregiver questionnaires: NPI-Q (neuropsychiatric symptoms), Amsterdam IADL (IADL), SFS (social functioning), Ecog (everyday cognition)
- Digital tests:
 - Banking app: managing finances
 - Altoida augmented reality app: cognition
 - Physilog sensors: gait
- Smartphone proficiency test

Mezurio app **At-home** remote assessments (8 weeks):

- Fitbit Charge 3: activity, heart rate, sleep (continuous)
- Axivity AX3: activity, sleep (continuous) Mezurio app: cognition (daily)
- pRMT app: smartphone use (continuous)
- Altoida augmented reality app: cognition (weekly)
- Amsterdam IADL Questionnaire: IADL (weekly)
- Wearable camera: activities (optional, 6 days)

Active monitoring

Wearable camera

Axivity AX3

Fitbit Charge 3

pRMT app

Altoida app

Passive monitoring

Table 1 shows example features, full table available on

CONCLUSION

The RADAR-AD study provides unique insights in the feasibility, acceptability, and validity of monitoring of functional abilities in AD and their potential to differentiate between syndromic stages.

METHODS

- We included 229 participants from 12 different European countries, in 4 study groups (Table 1).
- Features were extracted for all RMTs and compared across groups using ANCOVA, with adjustment for relevant confounders.

DATA & RESULTS

- Feasibility: Compliance was high, but decreased with disease severity. Real-time monitoring and involvement of study partner increased compliance.
- **Acceptability**: Problem rates were highest for the active apps, and increased with disease severity.
- Validity: various individual sensors discriminated symptomatic AD participants from asymptomatic participants (p<0.05), and even discriminated preclinical AD from healthy controls, for example the Altoida app and Axivity activity tracker.
- request of the author

Table 1 | Demographics and example features per study group.

	Healthy	Preclinical	Prodromal	Mild-to-	
Features	control	AD (N=20)	AD (N=65)	moderate AD (N=56)	ANCOVA
	(N=69) Dem	(N=39) ographics	(N=65)	AD (N-30)	
Amyloid status	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	
CDR (0/0.5/1/2)	69/0/0/0	38/1/0/0	0/65/0/0	0/6/42/8	p<0.001*
MMSE	29 (1)	29 (1)	27 (2)	22 (3)	p<0.001*
Male [n(%)]	31 (45%)	16 (41%)	38 (59%)	31 (55%)	p=0.218
Age	67 (8)	71 (6)	70 (8)	70 (9)	p=0.087
Years of education	14 (4)	16 (3)	15 (5)	14 (4)	p=0.149
		king app			'
Validity: Total test duration [s]	54.1 (32.2)	51.2 (33.3)	73.0 (54.8)	96.8 (61.0)	P<0.001*
	Gait tests (F	Physilog senso	rs)		
Validity TUG: Turn duration [s]	2.3 (0.41)	2.4 (0.63)	2.48 (0.75)	2.9 (1.48)	p=0.002*
Validity DTE: Gait speed [%]	-6.15 (10.68)	-6.28 (8.87)	-13.5 (15.51)	-19.55 (20.37)	p<0.001*
	Activity tracke	er 1: Fitbit Cha	rge 3		
Feasibility: wear time [%]	92 (84-97)	94 (85-96)	84 (67-96)	83 (43-93)	p=0.006*
Acceptability: problem rates [%]	4.4 (7.0)	4.0 (4.4)	6.8 (13.6)	10.4 (12.7)	p=0.07*
Validity: Mean number of steps	7486.18	7196.85	6235.09	5682.01	P<0.001*
	(3848.86)	(3514.25)	(3809.03)	(4051.39)	
	-	ker 2: Axivity			n_0 12
Feasibility: wear time [%]	57 (49-98)	95 (50-99)	85 (50-99)	52 (45-95)	p=0.13
Acceptability: problem rates [%]	5.7 (8.1)	6.2 (5.0)	8.0 (15.0)	8.5 (10.4)	p=0.31
Validity: Time sedentary [hours]	7.72 (1.79)	8.74 (1.51)	8.58 (1.91)	8.65 (2.19)	p=0.006*
	pp 1: Altoida re		-	<u> </u>	0.00
Feasibility: tasks completed [%]	75 (50-112)	75 (50-100)	63 (38-88)	N/A	p=0.09
Acceptability: problem rates [%]	15.2 (20.6)	24.7 (21.0)	24.4 (25.9)	N/A	p=0.09
Validity in clinic: DNS-MCI	62.23 (21.27)	50.39 (21.24)	32.87 (19.77)	NaN (NA)	p<0.001*
Validity at home: DNS-MCI	63.27 (22.08)	53.67 (21.98)	43.1 (22.76)	7.37 (1.19)	p<0.001*
	Active app 2: M	lezurio (speeci	<u>-</u>		/ .
Feasibility: tasks completed [%]	92 (81-96)	94 (81-97)	87 (78-94)	83 (58-92)	N/A
Acceptability: problem rates [%]	6.7 (8.6)	10.3 (10.8)	13.2 (17.6)	12.5 (12.2)	p=0.04*
Validity: Average pause duration	193.33 (90.38)	232.79 (143.8)	198.02 (90.46)	164.44 (97.94)	p=0.009*
	Weara	ble camera			
Feasibility: wear time [h]	14 (10-15)	15 (13-18)	16 (14-22)	14 (6-18)	p=0.55
Acceptibility: problem rates [%]	8.1 (11.1)	10.6 (6.4)	9.9 (24.3)	9.6 (12.0)	p=0.94
All numbers show mean (SD), except for the calculated as the percentage of reported pro CSF or PET results. Abbreviations: Amsterdam per minute, CDR = Clinical Dementia Rating,	oblems during bi-w iADL = Amsterdam	eekly semi-structung instrumented act	red interviews. And ivities of daily living	nyloid status was basing questionnaire, B	sed on either BPM = beats